

# Coalitional Game Forms with Topological Closure Systems

Stefano Vannucci

Department of Economics, University of Siena:  
Piazza S.Francesco 7, 53100 Siena, Italy  
e-mail: vannucci@unisi.it

May 14, 2004

Coalitional Game Forms with Topological Closure Systems (Extended Abstract)

This paper contributes to the task of classifying game forms from a structural point of view by studying properties of their concept- or Galois- lattices. In particular, we focus on those coalitional game forms having topological closure systems.

A coalitional game form (CGF) is a triple  $G = (N; X; E)$  where  $N$  is the player set,  $X$  is the outcome set, and  $E : \mathcal{P}N \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$  is the effectivity function. The concept lattice of  $G$  is defined as follows:

for any  $S \in \mathcal{P}N$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{P}X$  posit  

$$h_E(S) = \{A \in \mathcal{P}X : A \in E(S) \text{ for all } S \in \mathcal{P}N \text{ and } S \cap A = \emptyset\}$$

$$i_E(A) = \{S \in \mathcal{P}N : A \in E(S) \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{P}X\}$$

Then, consider

$$C(G) = \{(S; A) \in \mathcal{P}N \times \mathcal{P}X : S = i_E(A); \text{ and } A = h_E(S)\}$$

In the language of formal concept analysis an element  $(S; A)$  of  $C(G)$  is said to be a concept of the context  $G$ ; with extent  $S$  and intent  $A$  (the latter notions are amenable to straightforward dualizations).

The concept lattice of  $G$  (sometimes also referred to as its Galois lattice) is  $L(G) = (C(G); \subseteq)$  where for any  $(S_1; A_1), (S_2; A_2) \in C(G)$

$(S_1; A_1) \subseteq (S_2; A_2) \iff A_1 \supseteq A_2$  (which is provably equivalent to  $S_2 \subseteq S_1$ ), and

$$(S_1; A_1) \wedge (S_2; A_2) = (i_E(h_E(S_1 \cup S_2)); A_1 \cap A_2)$$

$$(S_1; A_1) \vee (S_2; A_2) = (S_1 \cap S_2; h_E(i_E(A_1 \cup A_2)))$$

It is also well-known and easily shown that both  $(i_E \pm h_E) : \mathcal{P}N \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$  and  $(h_E \pm i_E) : \mathcal{P}X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}N$  are closure operators with respect to set-inclusion (recall that a closure operator  $K$  on a preordered set  $(Y; \subseteq)$  is a function  $K : Y \rightarrow Y$  such that for any  $y; x \in Y : K(y) \subseteq y; K(y) \subseteq K(x)$  whenever  $y \subseteq x$ , and  $K(y) \subseteq K(K(y))$ ), and extents and intents of concepts are precisely the closed elements of  $(i_E \pm h_E)$  and  $(h_E \pm i_E)$  respectively (i.e.

$(S; A) \in C(G)$  if  $S = i_E(h_E(S))$  and  $A = h_E(i_E(A))$  and comprise the (Galois) closure systems of CGF  $G$ :

A closure operator  $K$  on  $(PZ; \mathcal{L})$  and its corresponding closure system are topological if  $K$  also satisfies normality i.e.  $K(\cdot) = \cdot$ ; and additivity i.e.  $K(C \cup D) = K(C) \cup K(D)$ :

This paper addresses the following issue: under what circumstances are the closure operators  $K_E = (i_E \pm h_E)$  and  $K_E^a = (h_E \pm i_E)$  topological?

It is shown that the following proposition holds:

**Theorem:** Let  $G = (N; X; E)$  be a monotonic CGF. Then  $K_E$  is topological if  $G$  is  $\cdot$ -normalized and the monogenic  $K_E^a$ -closed sets are meet-irreducible (a dual result obtains for  $K_E$ ):

It is also shown that CGFs with topological closure systems include additive effectivity functions and simple effectivity functions, but do not reduce to them, and that the resulting topologies are  $T_0$  only if the underlying CGF is 'purified'.

#### References

Abdou J., H. Keiding: Effectivity Functions in Social Choice. Dordrecht 1991: Kluwer.

Grätzer G.: General Lattice Theory (Second Edition). Basel, New York 1998: Birkhäuser Verlag.

Vannucci S.: On a Lattice-Theoretic Representation of Coalitional Power in Game Correspondences, in H.de Swart(ed): Logic, Game theory and Social choice. Tilburg 1999: Tilburg University Press.